

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,204	07/18/2001	Avi Ashkenazi	10466/118	1632
	590 11/28/2003	EXAMINER		
HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE LLP 275 MIDDLEFIELD ROAD MENLO PARK, CO 94025-3506			KAUFMAN, CLAIRE M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			DATE MAILED, 11/20/2002	

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/909,204	ASHKENAZI ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Claire M. Kaufman	1646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  36(a) and the appropriate extension fee				
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 October 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3.⊠ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): see attached sheet.						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becarised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>39-46 and 49-58</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

CONTINUATION OF ADVISORY ACTION PTOL-303:

Continuation of #2: The proposed amendment will not be entered because it raises new issues associated with the proposed amendment requiring the nucleic acid be amplified in lung or colon tumors. New issues include those under 35 USC 112, first paragraph.

Continuation of #3. Applicant's reply would overcome the following rejections if entered: Those of proposed cancelled claims. The rejection of amended claim 44 and dependent claims (i.e., all remaining pending claims) under 35 USC 102(b) and 103.

## Continuation of #6:

37 CFR § 1.195 Affidavits or declarations after appeal:

Affidavits, declarations, or exhibits submitted after the case has been appealed will not be admitted without a showing of good and sufficient reasons why they were not earlier presented. [34 FR 18858, Nov. 26, 1969]

It is stated in MEPE § 1208 that: If an affidavit, declaration, or exhibit was refused entry under 37 CFR 1.195, the examiner should not comment on it in the examiner's answer. Likewise, it would be improper for appellant to rely on an affidavit, declaration, or exhibit, which was refused entry, in an appeal brief. If appellant has grounds for challenging the non-entry of an affidavit, declaration, or exhibit, he or she should file a timely petition seeking supervisory review of the non-entry.

In the instant case there is not sufficient showing of good and sufficient reasons why the Declaration of Ashkenazi and References accompanying the Appeal Brief were not earlier presented. As a result, neither the declaration nor any of the references not previously submitted (see page 2, 6) will be entered or considered.

## Continuation of #5:

The specification provides no identification of the chromosome to which the nucleic acid encoding PRO339 maps. Testing for chromosomal aneuploidy is an invitation for further experimentation. Also, there is no evidence that clinicians use information about a gene product not being overexpressed as a basis for deciding to not treat a patient with an agent that targets that gene product. This is a hypothetical utility not disclosed in the specification. As to evidentiary standard, since there is no control for aneuploidy, it is maintained that the skilled artisan cannot reasonably conclude that a gene product is overexpressed, particularly when the level of overexpression was detected at only 2-3 fold.

Applicants argument related to the benefit of priority of the instant application to overcome the prior art rejections is not persuasive for the reasons discussed in previous Office actions. However, if the proposed amendment were entered, then the GenBank reference would no longer be applicable as prior art since it is not the same as SEQ ID NO:338.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Claire M. Kaufman, whose telephone number is (703) 305-5791 (changing to (571)272-0873 on 01/21/04). Dr. Kaufman can generally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30AM to 12:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, can be reached at (703) 308-6564 (changing to (571)272-0871 on 01/21/04).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 872-9306. NOTE: If applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by the applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office

Claire M. Kaufman, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1646

November 26, 2003

LORRAINE SPECTOR
PRIMARY EXAMINER